### TREE MATTER OUTSIDE 134 HEREFRORD ROAD, MT EVELYN

Report Author: Trees Co Ordinator

Responsible Officer: Director Environment and Infrastructure

Ward(s) affected: Billanook;

The author(s) of this report and the Responsible Officer consider that the report complies with the overarching governance principles and supporting principles set out in the Local Government Act 2020.

#### CONFIDENTIALITY

This item is to be considered at a Council meeting that is open to the public.

#### **SUMMARY**

Council has a request to inspect trees outside 134 Hereford Road, Mt Evelyn. Council inspected twenty-five trees, with seven recommended for removal. The resident wants a further eight trees removed. The resident's main concern is that the eight trees are dangerous and will fall onto her property. The trees are assessed as low risk. Council has no recorded Public Liability claims for this property.

The trees are indigenous to the area. The details of the trees are in the attached Arborist Assessment Report. The roadside reserve is in a Green Wedge A Zone (GWAZ2) and is also subject to Bushfire Management Overlay (BMO). A Planning Permit is required if the trees are approved by Council for removal.

#### RECOMMENDATION

That Council retains the eight trees and informs the resident of the decision.

#### RELATED COUNCIL DECISIONS

There are no related Council decisions relevant to this item.

#### **DISCUSSION**

# Purpose and Background

The resident has asked the Ward Councillor to escalate this matter as Council's Tree Management Team has recommended not removing eight trees as they are assessed as low risk. The resident is concerned about future branch fall, risk of

uprooting and the potential damage to the property and risk to children. Accordingly, the matter has been escalated as part of the process detailed in Council's Tree Policy.

## Options considered

There are three options to deal with the request.

- 1. Remove all the trees.
- 2. Heavily prune the trees.
- 3. Leave the trees at this time.

Option 1 has the advantage that all risks would be removed and would meet the resident's wishes. However, there would be a loss of environmental and amenity value, and it would take many years to grow replacement trees to a similar size

Option 2 may manage the risk in the short term. However, heavily pruning mature trees exposes them to new wind forces and may increase the risk of branch failure. Heavy pruning also risks killing the trees or causing prolific regrowth, which would have to be managed for the rest of their lives.

Option 3 follows the Tree Management Team's usual process when the trees have been assessed as low risk. However, there is a risk that branches or whole trees could fail, and it would go against the resident's wishes.

# Recommended option and justification

While accepting that option 3 does not meet the resident's wishes and is the higher risk option, it is recommended that no action is undertaken with the eight trees. A qualified arborist has assessed the trees and recommended that they remain. The arborist did recommend dead branch removal; for trees 16, 17, 19 and 22. The process taken by staff is in accordance with Council's Tree Policy and follows the normal processes for any requests from the community for inspection of a tree(s). This option is also the most beneficial from an environmental and local amenity perspective.

Under this option, the trees would be inspected, and any recommended action carried out, if their condition significantly changed in the future.

#### FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

If Council decides to remove the eight trees, the cost is normally met through the Tree Management Team's operational budget. An approximate cost to remove the eight trees and their stumps is \$15,000.

If the trees are retained, any ongoing maintenance costs will also be covered by the Tree Management Team's operational budget.

#### APPLICABLE PLANS AND POLICIES

This report contributes to the following strategic objective(s) in the Council Plan: Protected & Enhanced Natural Environment.

#### **RELEVANT LAW**

Not applicable

#### SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

## **Economic Implications**

It is generally accepted that well-treed streets can have an increase in property values as they add value to the neighbourhood character and local amenity.

# Social Implications

It is generally accepted that well-treed streets improve the amenity of the neighbourhood.

## **Environmental Implications**

The trees are indigenous and contribute to the environmental value of the area.

Trees can store atmospheric carbon as biomass. Trees are composed largely of carbon and continue to take in carbon as they grow. By fixing carbon during photosynthesis and storing it as biomass, growing trees act as a sink for CO2. The carbon that is removed from the atmosphere by trees contributes to a more stable climate.

Trees can play an important role in reducing the urban heat island effect. Leafy tree canopies cool their surroundings by shading hard surfaces and transpiring. Scientific studies conducted in inner Melbourne have demonstrated that street trees can reduce daytime summer air temperatures by between 1.5°C and 4°C.

Trees provide valuable habitat and food sources for indigenous fauna. While the subject trees do not have hollows, they may provide a food source for indigenous fauna, especially cockatoos.

#### **COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT**

No community engagement has been undertaken due to the urgency and timing of this report.

### COLLABORATION, INNOVATION AND CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT

Not relevant

#### **RISK ASSESSMENT**

Council's Coordinator Tree Management Team has assessed the trees and rated them low risk using the risk analysis matrix in section 3.5 of Council's 2016 Tree Policy. The risk matrix is based on the method set out by the International Society of Arboriculture.

The Risk Management Team has checked all claims records and cannot locate any claim for these trees or from the resident.

It is accepted that if the trees are retained there is a risk that further branches may fall, and potentially uproot. However, this is experienced widely across the municipality with the assessment of trees where they are retained. Arborists cannot guarantee that any tree will not drop branches or fail at some stage of its life.

If the trees are retained and did cause damage to the resident's property, there is a risk of an insurance claim being made against Council.

### **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

No officers and/or delegates acting on behalf of the Council through the Instrument of Delegation and involved in the preparation and/or authorisation of this report have any general or material conflict of interest as defined within the *Local Government Act 2020*.

#### ATTACHMENTS TO THE REPORT

1. Arborist report